

COMMENTS AND RESPONSE REPORT

**INVEST IN PROPERTY 99 (PTY) LTD (“APPLICANT”)
INTENDS APPLYING FOR A MINING RIGHT IN
RESPECT OF THE FOLLOWING PROPERTIES, AS
LISTED BELOW, WITHIN THE LEJWELEPUTSWA
MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT OF THE FREE STATE
PROVINCE**

REF NO: FS 30/5/1/2/2/10066 MR

JULY 2021



NOTIFICATION OF APPLICATION TO STAKEHOLDERS DURING INITIAL PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PHASE

COMMENTING PERIOD: 1 NOVEMBER – 3 DECEMBER 2018

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
Ms Gasela P/A Mr Thamela	Department of Economic Small Business Development, Tourism and Environmental Affairs (DETEA)	hod_office@detea.fs.gov.za	1 November 2018	No Comments Received
Mr Mwseoke P/A Ms Kekeletso	Department of Public Works and Infrastructure	hodoffice@fsworks.gov.za	1 November 2018	No Comments Received
Mr Mbana Peter Thabethe P/A Ms Mamphona	Department of Agriculture and Rural Development	pa.hodagric@fs.agric.za	1 November 2018	No Comments Received
Mr Nomfundo Douwjack Janine Janse v Rensburg	Department of Labour	nomfundo.douwjack@labour.gov.za	1 November 2018	No Comments Received
Mr SS Mtakati P/A Timbe	Department of Police, Roads and Transport	tsotsotsom@freetrans.gov.za	1 November 2018	No Comments Received
Mr TP Ntili	Department of Water Affairs & Sanitation	ntilit@dws.gov.za	1 November 2018	No Comments Received

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
Mr Ndoda Mgengo	Lekwa-Teemane Local Municipality	mgengon@lekwa-teemane.co.za	1 November 2018	No Comments Received
Mr. Kelehile J Motlhale.	Tokologo Local Municipality	Municipalmanager@tokologo.gov.za	1 November 2018	No Comments Received
Mr George Nyamane	Tokologo Local Municipality - Ward Councillor Ward 4	geotha@webmail.co.za	1 November 2018	No Comments Received
Ms Palesa Kaota	Lejweleputswa District Municipality	mm@lejwe.co.za	1 November 2018	No Comments Received
Officer Environmental Management Earl Craig Daniels Me Rene du Bruin	Eskom	danielec@eskom.co.za dBruinER@eskom.co.za	1 November 2018	No Comments Received
Mr Johannes Nicolaas Fourie	Portion 0 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Abramsyskraal 175	vtvbemarking@lantic.net	13 November 2018	No Comments Received
Wes Rum Boerdery (Pty) Ltd	Portion 1 of the farm Abramsyskraal 175 Portion 3 of the farm Abramsyskraal 175 Portion 12 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Smithskraal 1519 Portion 0 of the farm Thorburnton 106	calleasby@gmail.com	13 November 2018	No Comments Received

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
Yorkagric (Pty) Ltd	Portion 2 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Abramsyskraal 175 Portion 6 of the farm Abramsyskraal 175	calleasby@gmail.com	13 November 2018	No Comments Received
Seriso 654 (Pty) Ltd LH Claasen	Portion 8 of the farm Abramsyskraal 175	calleasby@gmail.com	13 November 2018	No Comments Received
VTV Boerdery (Pty) Ltd Nicky Fourie	Portion 0 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Annex-Naudesfontein 259 Portion 2 of the farm Annex-Naudesfontein 259 Portion 3 of the farm Annex-Naudesfontein 259 Portion 4 of the farm Annex-Naudesfontein 259	vtvbemarking@lantic.net	13 November 2018	No Comments Received
National Government of the Republic of South Africa	Portion 0 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Beth-EI-Pella 623	calleasby@gmail.com	13 November 2018	No Comments Received
Laucob Boerdery CC	Portion 1 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Beth-EI-Pella 623 Portion 2 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Beth-EI-Pella 623 Portion 4 of the farm Beth-EI-Pella 623 Portion 7 of the farm Beth-EI-Pella 623 Portion 2 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Leeuwheuvel 262	laucobbdy@gmail.com	13 November 2018	No Comments Received

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
Willem Andries Fourie	Portion 6 of the farm Beth-El-Pella 623	peacehaven13@gmail.com	13 November 2018	No Comments Received
Agrivan Farming (Pty) Ltd	Portion 0 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Ebenezer 127	admin@agrivanfarming.co.za	13 November 2018	No Comments Received
Falkirk Estate (Pty) Ltd	Portion 1 of the farm Ebenezer 127	murray@anthorn.co.za	13 November 2018	27 November 2018
Mr Murray Thompson registered the companies Falkirk Estate and Tilba landgoed as Interested and Affected Parties on 27 November 2018				
Greenmined acknowledge receipt of the email received on 27 November 2018				
Callender-Easby Trust	Portion 2 of the farm Ebenezer 127	calleasby@gmail.com	13 November 2018	No Comments Received
Leeuwheuwel Boerdery (Pty) Ltd JF van der Merwe	Portion 1 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Leeuwheuwel 262 Portion 4 of the farm Leeuwheuwel 262 Portion 5 of the farm Leeuwheuwel 263	jf@lhboerdery.co.za	13 November 2018	20 November 2018

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
JF van der Merwe from registered Leeuwheuvel Boerdery (Pty) Ltd as Interested and Affected Parties on 20 November 2018				
Peace Haven Trust	Portion 7 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Leeuwheuvel 262	admin@peacehaventrust.co.za	13 November 2018	No Comments Received
Christoffel Petrus Laubscher	Portion 8 of the farm Leeuwheuvel 262	laucobbdy@gmail.com	13 November 2018	No Comments Received
Tilba Estate (Pty) Ltd	Portion 2 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Smithskraal 1519 Portion 7 of the farm Smithskraal 1519	murray@anthorn.co.za	13 November 2018	26 November 2018
Mr Murray Thompson registered the companies Falkirk Estate and Tilba landgoed as Interested and Affected Parties on 27 November 2018				
Greenmined acknowledge receipt of the email received on 27 November 2018				
Aqua Boerdery (Pty) Ltd	Portion 4 of the farm Smithskraal 1519 Portion 9 of the farm Smithskraal 1519	johan@aquafarming.co.za	13 November 2018	No Comments Received
Ivanco Invest (Pty) Ltd	Portion 5 of the farm Smithskraal 1519 Portion 17 of the farm Smithskraal 1519	izak@duncan-rothman.co.za	13 November 2018	No Comments Received

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
Adriaan Hendrik de Beer Testamentere Trust	Portion 13 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Smithskraal 1519	dehaanerna@gmail.com	13 November 2018	No Comments Received
VTV Bemarking (Pty) Ltd Mr Nicky Fourie	Portion 0 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Buitenhoop 333 Portion 0 of the farm Annex Naudesfontein 1618 Portion 2 of the farm Naudesfontein 263	vtvbemarking@lantic.net	14 November 2018	No Comments Received
Callavista (Pty) Ltd Mr Izak Potgieter	Portion 0 of the farm Vaal-laagte 274 Portion 0 of the farm Holpan 260	izak@duncan-rothman.co.za	14 November 2018	No Comments Received
Submac Plant CC Mr Erwin van Vuuren	Portion 0 of the farm Onrust 332	submacplant@outlook.com	26 November 2018	No Comments Received
Mr Hendrik Esterhuyse	Portion 1 of the farm Buitenhoop 333	tunicatrust@gmail.com	26 November 2018	No Comments Received
Mr Pieter Jacobus Esterhuyse	Portion 2 of the farm Buitenhoop 333	buitenhoop@yahoo.com	26 November 2018	No Comments Received
Haib System Trading 04 Trading (Pty) Ltd Dr Johann Schutte	Portion 0 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Catharina 44 Portion 8 of the farm Catharina 44	hamiltonguesthouse1@gmail.com	6 December 2018	No Comments Received

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
Mr Petrus Johannes Roos	Portion 2 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Uitkyk 342	072 626 6808	6 December 2018	No Comments Received
Abwema Boerdery (Pty) Ltd Mr Arthur Westby Percival	Portion 4 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Cawoods Hope 324	arthurperci@gmail.com	6 December 2018	No Comments Received
Sanet Nel Trust Me Sanet van der Merwe	Portion 3 of the farm Pandam 467	sales@buroilab.co.za	6 December 2018	No Comments Received
Uys Familie Boerdery (Pty) Ltd Charl Uys	Portion 0 (Remaining Extent) of the farm Ganna Vlakte 19	marochel@mweb.co.za	6 December 2018	No Comments Received

MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC MEETING HELD IN RESPECT OF THE PROPOSED MINING RIGHT APPLICATIONS TO BE SUBMITTED BY INVEST IN PROPERTY 84 (PTY) LTD AND INVEST IN PROPERTY 99 (PTY) LTD WITHIN THE LEJWELEPUTSWA MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT OF THE FREE STATE PROVINCE

Date: 20 November, 2018

Time: 18:00

Venue: The Christiana All Seasons Resorts, Rhino Conference Room, Christiana

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
-------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------

Attendees:

NAME & SURNAME	ASSOCIATION	EMAIL	PHONE NUMBER
Lucas Lithoko (LL)	Community Member	N/A	071 700 3838
Sam Olifant (SO)	South African Community Organisation Chairperson	olifantsaml@gmail.com	063 441 2864 064 356 0744
FJ Bellingan (FB)	Affected property owner	Bellconstruction2008@gmail.com	-
TJ Delpport (TD)	Affected property owner	hettiedelport@gmail.com	-
Henno van der Merwe (HvdM)	Affected property owner	lhb@lhboerdery.co.za	-
Kagiso Mohlamme (KM)	Greenmined Environmental	Kagiso.m@greenmined.cco.za	071 605 4115
Christine Fouché (CF)	Greenmined Environmental	christine.f@greenmined.co.za	082 811 8514

OPENING

Ms Christine Fouché (CF) from Greenmined Environmental welcomed the attendees and highlighted the purpose of the meeting.

MEETING PRESENTATION

CF continued with a PowerPoint presentation that elaborated on the following aspects with regard to the proposed mining right applications to be submitted by Invest in Property 84 (Pty) Ltd (IIP84) and Invest in Property 99 (Pty) Ltd (IIP99):

- Agenda;

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Background Information; ▪ Project Information; ▪ Legal Requirements; ▪ Commenting Period; and ▪ Way Forward. <p>The attendees were encouraged to voice their comments/concerns regarding the proposed projects. CF indicated that Greenmined Environmental aims to consider the comments/concerns of all the parties affected and involved in the project. The meeting discussed various aspects related to the presentation and proposed mining right applications as listed below.</p> <p><u>RESPONSE TO PRESENTATION AND PROJECT PROPOSALS</u></p> <p><i>Prospecting Rights (PR's):</i></p> <p>CF provided the <i>status quo</i> of the existing PR's held by IIP84 and IIP99 respectively, and mentioned that the prospecting rights where still valid until 12 June 2019 upon which the holders could apply for one renewal not exceeding 3 years.</p> <p>Mr FJ Bellingan (FB) indicated that IIP84 was issued with an Article 93 notice due to non-compliance. CF confirmed this statement and highlighted that no prospecting is taking place on the IIP84 prospecting right area. CF confirmed that prospecting is in progress at the IIP99 PR area.</p> <p>FB enquired what the options are when the PR expires.</p> <p>CF explained that the holder of the PR can either renew the PR or an application can be submitted for a mining right. The difference between a PR and MR was explained, and the role of the Mine Works Programme was elaborated on.</p> <p><i>Impacts of alluvial diamonds on the properties:</i></p>				

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
<p>FB illustrated how mining will require the removal of vegetation resulting in a highly negative impact on pastures, irrigated land, orchards and natural vegetation needed for farming. FB mentioned that most vegetation occurring in the area takes approximately 5-7 years to grow or recover, and thus vegetation removal will affect the operations of the affected properties. This must be taken into consideration throughout the study and mining operations. FB further noted that landowner consent must be negotiated with all landowners prior to the commencement of mining.</p> <p>CF confirmed this statement, and mentioned that the exclusion of mining in active agricultural areas must be stipulated as a condition of the landowner agreements. It was further mentioned that landowner consent is required in terms of the NEMA, and that a condition to this effect (exclusion of active agricultural areas) can be added to the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) that becomes a legally enforced document upon approval of the Environmental Authorisation (EA). CF explained that the mining right holders will be subject to compliance inspections and are legally required to submit environmental performance reports to the DMR.</p> <p>Surveying of the land:</p> <p>FB and Mr T.J. Delpont (TD) indicated that mining must not take place on irrigated or active farming land. Such areas must be surveyed and strategically excluded from the mining areas. A map, with geographical coordinates must be produced indicating this.</p> <p>CF responded that the exclusion of active agricultural areas has to be included in the landowner consent to be signed by the landowner and respective applicant. The surveying of active agricultural areas was noted and will be addressed with the applicants. It was also noted that the exclusion of active agricultural areas can be added as a condition to the EMPr that will then become enforceable during the operational phase of the projects. It was further noted that should the MR be sold to another entity the conditions as listed in the EMPr and EA remains enforceable and the new party will still have to comply with it.</p> <p>Commodities to be mined:</p>				

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
<p>CF explained the proposed mining method and highlighted that the proposed mining right applications will be for alluvial diamonds, gold from placer deposits, and sand.</p> <p><i>Gold</i></p> <p>FB mentioned that gold was not part of the prospecting right application, and as such the mineral cannot be applied for during the mining right application.</p> <p>CF explained that the MPRDA allows an applicant the right to apply for commodities that he expects to be available within the proposed mining right area even if it was not applied for as part of a prospecting right. It was further explained that should gold be approved as part of the proposed mining right applications, it will allow the applicants to lawfully sell the mineral as and when recovered.</p> <p><i>Sand</i></p> <p>CF indicated that sand recovered from the processing plants will be sold to the building industry within the area.</p> <p>An objection to the inclusion of sand as a commodity was lodged, and it was insisted that this mineral be removed from the proposed MR applications. The landowners indicated that sand forms part of their soil/agriculture livelihood, and should this be mined/sold it will negatively affect the refilling of excavations and agricultural potential of the properties both during- and post mining.</p> <p><i>Socio-Economic Impacts:</i></p> <p><i>Employment opportunities</i></p> <p>Mr Sam Oilfant (SO) questioned how the community of the area will benefit from the project.</p>				

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
<p>CF informed SO of the Social and Labour Plan (SLP), to be submitted as part of the MR applications, that identifies how the MR holder intends to “give back” to the community through employment and related matters. CF also indicated that the applicants need to identify Local Economic Development (LED) projects where the MR holders contribute an amount to the community from the income of the mining project. This document is valid for 5 years upon which it is eligible for renewal and the identification of another LED project.</p> <p>SO requested clarity whether the community will receive a percentage of the mining project.</p> <p>CF reiterated that the community will benefit from the mining projects through various aspects, such as but not limited to employment, bursaries, and internships as committed to in the SLP.</p> <p>SO mentioned that mining has taken place in the area however no locals were employed. SO further requested figures or percentages indicating how many local people will be employed.</p> <p>CF reiterated that local residents will be employed, with special consideration given to the current employees of the prospecting operations. It was mentioned that, should the MR applications be approved, it will mean continued employment opportunities to the current local PR workers thereby contributing to their job security. Furthermore, CF highlighted that the applicants do not intend to mine the entire footprint all at once, but proposed to work a maximum of 3 sites for the IIP84 application, and 6 sites as part of the IIP99 application. In light of this the required workforce will correspond to the amount of operational sites at any given time.</p> <p>SO indicted that the mine should include both local general workers and local skilled employees.</p> <p>CF confirmed that skilled workers will be needed at the proposed operations, and highlighted that preference will be given to local residents as the use of local labour positively contribute to various aspects such as, but not limited to, the need for housing (foreign workers needs accommodation), logistics (transport and correspondence with of local residents is easier), and social (local residents does not have to leave their households and families).</p>				

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
<p><i>Black Economic Empowerment (BEE)</i></p> <p>SO requested that the details (name, contact details, residence etc.) of the BEE partner be given to the Interested and Affected Parties.</p> <p>CF confirmed that it is a departmental requirement that a BEE partner must form part of a mining right application, and alluded to the requirements of the new Mining Charter (30% shareholding to be allocated to BEE entities). The details of the BEE partner was not available at the meeting, but the request was added to the minutes to be provided to SO at a later stage.</p> <p><i>Mining method:</i></p> <p>CF provided an overview of the proposed mining method and mentioned that it will correspond to the current method of the prospecting activities. Mining will entail open cast strip mining, whereby topsoil is stripped and stockpiled on the side, followed by the excavation of a pit to access the mineral. The diamondiferous gravel is transported to the processing area where it undergoes screening and is fed to the washing pans. The concentrate is deposited into locked steel bucket which is transported to the recovery plant where the diamonds are retrieved. Once the gravel in a specific pit is exhausted, the excavation is backfilled before another pit is opened. Upon final closure the entire footprint of the mining site (2 ha) will be rehabilitated before another site is established.</p> <p><i>Land use application:</i></p> <p>An enquiry regarding a land use application was raised.</p> <p>CF indicated that an independent town and regional planner was appointed to handle the applications in terms of the LUPA (Land Use Planning Act, 2014 (Act No 13 of 2014)). CF mentioned that the planner was in communication with the local municipality (Tokologo Local Municipality) to enquire about the region specific requirements. The attendees were ensured that all landowners will be contacted prior to the submission of any applications. Although the land use applications does not form part of EIA process, the LUPA requirement will briefly be discussed in the EIA reports.</p>				

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
<p>General issues</p> <p><i>Fencing</i></p> <p>The landowners appealed that mining areas and settling ponds must be fenced before commencement of operations to avoid safety incidents (such as people and animals falling into excavations or settling ponds). The fences must remain for as long as the settling ponds dry out. It was further requested that jackal proof fencing be used, as animals can enter through normal barbed wire fencing.</p> <p>The fencing of operational mining areas was noted and will be addressed with the applicants.</p> <p><i>Closure plan and rehabilitation</i></p> <p>FB questioned whether rehabilitation will take place.</p> <p>CF explained that rehabilitation activities will include removal of all infrastructures, refilling of excavations, landscaping and seeding of each 2 ha mining area upon closure. No new excavations will commence until rehabilitation and closure of the previous excavations are completed. It was mentioned that seeding is season depended, and may have to be postponed to ensure the best possible results. An area will however not be deemed rehabilitated until all refilling and seeding took place. The rehabilitation actions will be detailed in a closure plan to be approved as part of the proposed mining right applications.</p> <p>Upon final closure, the applicants has to apply for closure certificates (in terms of the MPRDA) that will not be issued until DMR confirmed and approved the rehabilitation of all disturbed areas. It was also noted that DMR will require the signing of a landowner indemnity form, prior to issuing a closure certificate that specifically asks the landowners opinion with regard to the rehabilitation of the affected areas on his property.</p> <p><i>Electricity use</i></p>				

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
<p>The meeting was informed that electricity will be provided by means of generators.</p> <p><i>Water use</i></p> <p>TD asked where water needed for the project will come from.</p> <p>CF indicated that potable water will be obtained from the boreholes on the properties upon agreement with the landowners. Process water will exclusively be obtained from the Vaal River. CF mentioned that the applicants will apply for a Water Use Licence (WUL) from the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS) prior to water use.</p> <p><i>Resource calculation and timeframes</i></p> <p>FB requested that the calculation of the resource be provided, as well as an explanation as to why the mining rights must be valid for 30 years. FB further mentioned that the 30 year timeframe is too long for mining considering the impacts on farming. It was noted that the resource calculation must justify the proposed 30 year timeframe.</p> <p><i>Sorting of product</i></p> <p>SO questioned whether the concentrate can be sorted on site and not at the offsite Schweizer-Reneke recovery plant, as an offsite sorting unit doesn't allow control of the amount of minerals recovered. A request was raised that a local sorting station be erected instead of material being removed from the properties.</p> <p>FB indicated that at least one <i>Sortex</i> station per application must be erected within the vicinity of the operations.</p> <p><i>Roads</i></p>				

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
<p>FB highlighted that the current prospecting operation generates a lot of dust, and no dust suppression is implemented.</p> <p>CF noted that dust suppressions requirements will be added to the EMP, to be enforced during the operational phase of the proposed mining projects.</p> <p><i>Specialist Studies</i></p> <p>CF listed the specialists that will form part of the project team and explained their respective roles;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Archaeologist to compile the Heritage Impact Assessment, 2. Palaeontologist to inform on the palaeontological significance of the area; 3. Hydrologist that will report on the water related matters as required in terms of the WUL application. <p>CF informed the attendees of the legal requirements associated with the proposed MR applications and highlighted the prescribed EIA process (in terms of the NEMA and the Mineral and Petroleum Resources and Development Act (MPRDA). Preliminary dates were estimated to explain legislated timeframes.</p> <p><u>WAY FORWARD</u></p> <p>The proposed way forward was explained, and attendees were asked for assistance with contact details of the following surrounding landowners who could, to date, not be located:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Haib System Trading – Catharina 44/0 & 8 ▪ Submac Plant CC – Onrust 332/0 ▪ PJ Roos – Uitkyk 342/2 ▪ Abwema Boerdery – Cawoods Hope 324/4 				

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ JR Familie Trust – Cawoods Hope 324/0 & 3, Goede Hoop 331/12 ▪ H Esterhuyse – Buitenhoop 333/1 ▪ PJ Esterhuyse – Buitenhoop 333/2 ▪ Sanet Nel Trust – Pandam 467/3 ▪ B&B Eiendomme – Pandam 467/5 ▪ Uys Familie Boerdery – Ganna Vlakte 19/0 ▪ Bessieslaagte Trust – Bessies Laagte 328/7 ▪ GCT Trust – Bloemheuvel 327/11 & 12 & 13 ▪ Bloemheuvel Trust – 327/16 ▪ PCF Swiegers – Bloemheuvel 327/3 ▪ Johan Claasen – Diamant 631/6 & 12 & 13 ▪ Michiel van de Venter Testamentere Trust – Kalkput 460/0 ▪ Leeuheuvel Boerdery – Overshot 496/2, Pontplaas 664/1 <p data-bbox="226 922 2011 1002">Mr Henno van der Merwe (HvdM) confirmed that he represented Leeuheuvel Boerdery on behalf of his father, and assisted with the contact details of the following entities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ H Esterhuyse – Buitenhoop 333/1 ▪ PJ Esterhuyse – Buitenhoop 333/2 ▪ Johan Claasen – Diamant 631/6 & 12 & 13 (Wesley contact number) ▪ Michiel van de Venter Testamentere Trust – Kalkput 460/0 <p data-bbox="226 1233 2011 1265">FB enquired whether all their comments must be submitted by 3 December 2018.</p>				

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
<p>CF explained that interested and affected parties only need to register by 3 December 2018, as this will ensure their continued participation throughout the EIA process. Once registered the I&AP's will be afforded an opportunity to comment on the draft Scoping Report as well as the draft Environmental Impact Assessment Report where written comments could be submitted over a 30-days commenting period (per document).</p> <p>CF confirmed that all attendees will be registered as interested and affected parties on the project, and that the minutes of the meeting as well as a copy of the meeting presentation will be distributed to all present.</p> <p>The attendees were thanked for their presence and the meeting was adjourned.</p> <p><u>ACRONYMS</u></p> <p>BEE Black Economic Empowerment CF Christine Fouché DSR Draft Scoping Report DWS Department of Water and Sanitation EA Environmental Authorisation EIA Environmental Impact Assessment EIA Environmental Impact Assessment EMPr Environmental Management Programme FB FJ Bellingan HvdM Henno van der Merwe IIP84 Invest in Property 84 (Pty) Ltd IIP99 Invest in Property 99 (Pty) Ltd LED Local Economic Development</p>				

TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACT DETAILS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
LUPA	Land Use Planning Act, 2014 (Act No 13 of 2014)			
MPRDA	Mineral and Petroleum Resources and Development Act (Act 28 of 2002)			
MR	Mining Right			
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act (Act no 107 of 1998)			
PR	Prospecting Right			
SLP	Social and Labour Plan			
SO	Sam Olifant			
TD	TJ Delport			
WUL	Water Use Licence			
Upload onto Sahris on 5 November 2018				

SUMMARY OF PARTICIPATION PROCESS

1. The I&AP's and stakeholders were informed of the proposed project through:
 - telephonic discussions,
 - direct communication with notification letters,
 - placement of on-site notices, and
 - placement of advert in the Bloemnuus on 1 November 2018
 - site meeting held on 20 November 2018

SUMMARY OF PARTICIPATION PROCESS

See attached Appendix A as proof of the correspondence with the I&AP's and stakeholders during the Public Participation Process.