

# ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

A “significant impact” is defined as it is defined in the EIA Regulations (2014): “an impact that may have a notable effect on one or more aspects of the environment or may result non-compliance with accepted environmental quality standards, thresholds or targets and is determined through rating the positive and negative effects of an impact on the environment based on criteria such as by its duration, magnitude, intensity or probability of occurrence”. The objective of this EIA methodology is to serve as framework for accurately evaluating impacts associated with current or proposed activities in the biophysical, social and socio-economical spheres. It aims to ensure that all legal requirements and environmental considerations are met in order to have a complete and integrated environmental framework for impact evaluations.

## 1. IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

The process of determining impacts to be assessed is one of the most important parts of the environmental impact assessment process. It is of such high importance because the environmental impacts identified can and are often linked to the same impact stream.

In this method all impacts on the biophysical environment are assessed in terms of the overall integrity of ecosystems, habitats, populations and individuals affected. The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) 2014 Regulations promulgated in terms of Sections 24 (5), 24M and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) (Act No. 107 of 1998) [as amended] requires that all identified potential impacts associated with the proposed project be assessed in terms of their overall potential significance on the natural, social and economic environments.

The criteria identified in the EIA Regulations (2014) include the following:

- Nature of the impact;
- Extent of the impact;
- Duration of the impact;
- Probability of the impact occurring;
- Degree to which impact can be reversed;
- Degree to which impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources;
- Degree to which the impact can be mitigated; and
- Cumulative impacts.

Greenmined Environmental has developed an impact assessment methodology (as defined below) whereby the significance of a potential impact is determined through the assessment of the relevant temporal and spatial scales determined of the extent, magnitude and duration criteria associated with a particular impact.

This method does not explicitly define each of the criteria but rather combines them and results in an indication of the overall significance.

**DEFINITIONS AND CONCEPTS:**

**Environmental significance:**

The concept of significance is at the core of impact identification, evaluation and decision-making. The concept remains largely undefined and there is no international consensus on a single definition. The following common elements are recognised from the various interpretations:

- Environmental significance is a value judgement;
- The degree of environmental significance depends on the nature of the impact;
- The importance is rated in terms of both biophysical and socio-economic values; and
- Determining significance involves the amount of change to the environment perceived to be acceptable to affected communities.

Significance can be differentiated into impact magnitude and impact significance. Impact magnitude is the measurable change (i.e. intensity, duration and likelihood). Impact significance is the value placed on the change by different affected parties (i.e. level of acceptability) (DEAT (2002) Impact Significance, Integrated Environmental Management, Information Series 5).

The concept of risk has two dimensions, namely the consequence of an event or set of circumstances, and the likelihood of particular consequences being realised (Environment Australia (1999) Environmental Risk Management).

**1.1. Nature of the impact**

The nature of an impact can be defined as “a brief description of the impact being assessed, in terms of the proposed activity or project, including the socio-economic or environmental aspect affected by this impact”.

**1.2. Extent of the impact**

The extent of an impact can be defined as “a brief description of the spatial influence of the impact or the area that will be affected by the impact”.

Table 1: Determining the extent of an impact

|  |                  |  |
|--|------------------|--|
| <b>EXTENT</b><br><br>Extent or spatial influence of impact | <b>Footprint</b> | Only as far as the activity, such as footprint occurring within the total site area              |
|  | <b>Site</b>      | Only the site and/or 500m radius from the site will be affected                                  |
|  | <b>Local</b>     | Local area / district (neighbouring properties, transport routes and adjacent towns) is affected |
|  | <b>Region</b>    | Entire region / province is affected   |
|  | <b>National</b>  | Country is affected  |

### 1.3. Severity of the impact

**Severity** relates to the nature of the event, aspect or impact to the environment and describes how severe the aspects impact on the biophysical and socio-economic environment.

Table 2: Rating of Severity

| Type of criteria   | Rating  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|  | 1   | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  |
| Quantitative   | 0-20%   | 21-40%   | 41-60%   | 61-80%   | 81-100%  |
| Qualitative  | Insignificant / Non-harmful   | Small / Potentially harmful                    | Significant/ Harmful   | Great/ Very harmful                                    | Disastrous Extremely harmful   |
| Social/ Community response   | Acceptable / I&AP satisfied   | Slightly tolerable / Possible objections       | Intolerable/ Sporadic complaints   | Unacceptable / Widespread complaints                   | Totally unacceptable / Possible legal action   |
| Irreversibility  | Very low cost to mitigate/ High potential to mitigate impacts to level of insignificance/ Easily reversible | Low cost to mitigate                           | Substantial cost to mitigate/ Potential to mitigate impacts/ Potential to reverse impact | High cost to mitigate                                  | Prohibitive cost to mitigate/ Little or no mechanism to mitigate impact Irreversible |
| Biophysical (Air quality, water quantity and quality, waste production, fauna and flora) | Insignificant change / deterioration or disturbance   | Moderate change / deterioration or disturbance | Significant change / deterioration or disturbance  | Very significant change / deterioration or disturbance | Disastrous change / deterioration or disturbance                                     |

### 1.4. Duration of the impact

Duration refers to the amount of time that the environment will be affected by the event, risk or impact, if no intervention e.g. remedial action takes place.

Table 3: Rating of Duration

| Rating | Description     |
|--------|-----------------|
| 1      | Very Short Term |
| 2      | Short Term      |
| 3      | Medium Term     |
| 4      | Long Term       |
| 5      | Permanent       |

### 1.5. Probability of the impact occurring

The probability of an impact can be defined as “the estimated chance of the impact happening”. Probability refers to how often the activity or aspect has an impact on the environment.

Table 4: Determining the probability of an impact

| PROBABILITY | 1                                | Description  |
|-------------|----------------------------------|--|
|             | Almost never / almost impossible | Impossible to occur (0 – 20% probability of occurring) |

|  |   |                                       |   |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|
|  | 2 | Very seldom / highly unlikely         | <i>Unlikely</i> to occur (20 -40% probability of occurring) |
|  | 3 | Infrequent / unlikely / seldom        | <i>May</i> occur (40-60% chance of occurring)               |
|  | 4 | Often / regularly / likely / possible | <i>Likely</i> to occur (60-80% chance of occurring)         |
|  | 5 | Daily / highly likely / definitely    | Will <i>certainly</i> occur (80-100% chance of occurring)   |

### 1.6. Degree to which impact can be reversed

The reversibility of an impact can be defined as “the ability of an impact to be changed from a state of affecting aspects to a state of not affecting aspects”.

Table 5: Determining the reversibility of an impact

|                      |                     |  |
|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>REVERSIBILITY</b> | <b>Reversible</b>   | Impacts can be reversed through the implementation of mitigation measures                |
|                      | <b>Irreversible</b> | Impacts are permanent and can't be reversed by the implementation of mitigation measures |

### 1.7. Determination of Likelihood:

The irreplaceability (likelihood) of an impact can be defined as “the amount of resources that can/can't be replaced”. The determination of likelihood is a combination of Frequency and Probability. Each factor is assigned a rating of 1 to 5, as described below and in tables 6 and 7.

### 1.8. Overall Likelihood

Overall likelihood is calculated by adding the factors determined above and summarised below, and then dividing the sum by 2.

#### Example of calculating Overall Likelihood

| Consequence  | Rating    |
|--|-----------|
| Duration   | Example 4 |
| Probability  | Example 2 |
| <b>SUBTOTAL</b>                                    | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>TOTAL LIKELIHOOD</b><br>(Subtotal divided by 2) | <b>3</b>  |

### 1.9. Determination of Overall Environmental Significance:

The environmental significance assessment methodology is based on the following determination:

$$\text{Environmental Significance} = \text{Overall Consequence} \times \text{Overall Likelihood}$$

The multiplication of overall consequence with overall likelihood will provide the environmental significance, which is a number that will then fall into a range of **LOW**, **LOW-MEDIUM**, **MEDIUM**, **MEDIUM-HIGH** or **HIGH**, as shown in the table below.

| Significance or Risk     | Low     | Low-Medium | Medium    | Medium-High | High    |
|--------------------------|---------|------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Overall Consequence<br>X | 1 - 4.9 | 5 - 9.9    | 10 - 14.9 | 15 – 19.9   | 20 - 25 |
| Overall Likelihood       |         |            |           |             |         |

Based on the above, the significance rating scale has been determined as follows:

- High** Of the highest order possible within the bounds of impacts which could occur. In the case of negative impacts, there would be no possible mitigation and / or remedial activity to offset the impact at the spatial or time scale for which it was predicted. In the case of positive impacts, there is no real alternative to achieving the benefit.
- Medium-High** Impacts of a substantial order. In the case of negative impacts, mitigation and / or remedial activity would be feasible but difficult, expensive, time-consuming or some combination of these. In the case of positive impacts, other means of achieving this benefit would be feasible, but these would be more difficult, expensive, time-consuming or some combination of these.
- Medium** Impact would be real but not substantial within the bounds of those, which could occur. In the case of negative impacts, mitigation and / or remedial activity would be both feasible and fairly easily possible, in case of positive impacts; other means of achieving these benefits would be about equal in time, cost and effort.
- Low-Medium** Impact would be of a low order and with little real effect. In the case of negative impacts, mitigation and / or remedial activity would be either easily achieved or little would be required, or both. In case of positive impacts alternative means for achieving this benefit would likely be easier, cheaper, more effective, less time-consuming, or some combination of these.
- Low** Impact would be negligible. In the case of negative impacts, almost no mitigation and or remedial activity would be needed, and any minor steps, which might be needed, would be easy, cheap and simple. In the case of positive impacts, alternative means would almost all likely be better, in one or a number of ways, than this means of achieving the benefit
- Insignificant** There would be a no impact at all – not even a very low impact on the system or any of its parts.

#### 1.10. Determination of Overall Consequence

Consequence analysis is a mixture of quantitative and qualitative information and the outcome can be positive or negative. Several factors can be used to determine consequence. For the purpose of determining the environmental significance in terms of consequence, the following factors were chosen: **Severity/Intensity, Duration and Extent/Spatial Scale**. Each factor is assigned a rating of 1 to 5, as described in the tables above.

#### 1.11. Degree to which the impact can be mitigated

The degree to which an impact can be mitigated can be defined as “the effect of mitigation measures on the impact and its degree of effectiveness”.

Table 6: Determining the mitigation rating of an impact

|                          |   |        |                                 |
|--------------------------|---|--------|---------------------------------|
| <b>MITIGATION RATING</b> | <b>MITIGATED Degree impact can be mitigated</b> | High   | <i>Impact 100% mitigated</i>    |
|                          |   | Medium | <i>Impact &gt;50% mitigated</i> |
|                          |   | Low    | <i>Impact &lt;50% mitigated</i> |

**1.12. Cumulative Impacts**

The effect of cumulative impacts can be described as “the effect the combination of past, present and “reasonably foreseeable” future actions have on aspects”.

Table 7: Determining the confidence rating of an impact

|                          |                           |        |                                       |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>CUMULATIVE RATING</b> | <b>CUMULATIVE EFFECTS</b> | Low    | <i>Minor cumulative effects</i>       |
|                          |                           | Medium | <i>Moderate cumulative effects</i>    |
|                          |                           | High   | <i>Significant cumulative effects</i> |

**2. The positive and negative impacts that the proposed activity will have on the environment and the community that may be affected.**

No other alternative sites needed to be investigated as this is an amendment of the current EMPR.

The site was identified during the assessment phase of the environmental impact assessment (2014 assessment), by the applicant and project team, and was therefore selected as the **preferred alternative** due to the following:

Positive Impacts:

- The mining site offers the mineral sought after;
- The proposed footprint area was previously used for mining therefore very little indigenous vegetation needs to be disturbed in order to establish the mining area;
- The site is located within neighbouring sand mines, and will minimally affect the community with regards to dust and noise;
- The mineral to be mined is already in sand form and will not need to be blasted in order to loosen the material;
- The mining area can be reached by an existing farm access road that connects to Vaal Eden-Barrage road. No new road infrastructure need to be constructed;
- Due to the small size of the activity and the remote location of the mining area the potential impacts on the surrounding environment, associated with mining is deemed to be of low significance; and
- No residual waste as a result of the mining activity will be produced that needs to be treated on site. Any general waste that may be produced on-site will be contained in sealed refuse bins to be

transported to the local municipal landfill site (Parys). The amount of hazardous waste to be produced at the site will be minimal and will mainly be as a result of accidental leakage. Contaminated soil will be removed to the depth of the spillage and contained in sealed bins until removed from site by a hazardous waste handling contractor to be disposed of at a registered hazardous waste handling site.

Negative Impacts:

- Due to the remote location of the mining area very little negative impacts on the community could be identified that were deemed to be of significant importance. The dust and noise impacts that may emanate from the mining area during the operational phase could have a negative impact on the surrounding community if the mitigation measures proposed in this document is not implemented and managed on-site; and
- Negative impacts with regard to the environment include potential contamination of the area due to spillage of hydrocarbon products.

The land is currently under cultivated grazing and mixed farming. Two farm houses, a barn and outbuildings are currently present on site. These buildings will not be impacted by mining and are situated in the exclusion zones on the mining plan.

Table 8: Impact Assessment of Tja Naledi-Barrage Bulk Sand Mine

| Nature of Impact   | Impact   | Positive/Negative / Neutral Impact | Reversibility | Extent | Severity | Duration | Consequence | Probability | Likelihood | Significance | Mitigation Rating | Mitigation   | EMP Section          |
|--|--|------------------------------------|---------------|--------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|--|----------------------|
| <b>CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATIONAL PHASES</b>   |  |                                    |               |        |          |          |             |             |            |              |                   |  |                      |
| <b>ACTIVITY: Utilization of haul and access roads within the mining right area</b> |  |                                    |               |        |          |          |             |             |            |              |                   |  |                      |
| <b>ACTIVITY: Creation of opencast pits</b>   |  |                                    |               |        |          |          |             |             |            |              |                   |  |                      |
| <b>SUB ACTIVITY: Truck and heavy machinery operations</b>                          |  |                                    |               |        |          |          |             |             |            |              |                   |  |                      |
| <b>SUB-ACTIVITY: Soil stockpiling &amp;/ or berm construction</b>                  |  |                                    |               |        |          |          |             |             |            |              |                   |  |                      |
| <b>SUB ACTIVITY: Compaction and grading of roads</b>                               |  |                                    |               |        |          |          |             |             |            |              |                   |  |                      |
| <b>SUB ACTIVITY: Removal of sand, aggregate and alluvial diamonds</b>              |  |                                    |               |        |          |          |             |             |            |              |                   |  |                      |
| <b>SUB ACTIVITY: Removal of grassland and vegetation for site preparation</b>      |  |                                    |               |        |          |          |             |             |            |              |                   |  |                      |
| Geology  | Disturbance of geological strata   | Neg                                | Irreversible  | 1      | 3        | 5        | 3           | 5           | 5          | 15           | Low               | None.  | Part B 1) d) (2) (a) |
| Soils  | Potential for loss of soil & damage to soil characteristics  | Neg                                | Reversible    | 1      | 3        | 4        | 3           | 2           | 3          | 9            | High              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure activities occur only within the designated areas and stockpile and revegetated soil as soon as possible.</li> <li>Topsoil will be removed before mining activities commence and stored outside of the active mining cell.</li> </ul>  | Part B 1) d) (2) (a) |
| Soils  | Potential for erosion, loss of soil characteristics, compaction of soil & soil degradation through stockpiling | Neg                                | Reversible    | 1      | 3        | 2        | 3           | 2           | 2          | 6            | High              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The necessary measures will be put in place to limit erosion from the stockpiles and to divert storm water away from the stockpiles.</li> <li>Re-vegetate any bare soil immediately.</li> <li>Herbaceous plant mater should be stockpiled to retain organic content of soil.</li> <li>Stockpiles should be to the specifications of the pedological study.</li> </ul> | Part B 1) d) (2) (a) |

Environmental Impact Assessment

| Nature of Impact | Impact   | Positive/Negative / Neutral Impact | Reversibility | Extent | Severity | Duration | Consequence | Probability | Likelihood | Significance | Mitigation Rating | Mitigation  | EMP Section             |
|------------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------|--------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Flora            | Loss of biodiversity                                 | Neg                                | Reversible    | 1      | 4        | 2        | 4           | 2           | 2          | 8            | High              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>topsoil will be removed before mining operations commence.</li> <li>restoring of topsoil during rehabilitation would encourage natural re-vegetation of the area.</li> <li>re-vegetation with indigenous seeds would be done if it is necessary.</li> <li>Ensure permits are obtained to remove protected species.</li> <li>Relocate all protected species with aid of specialists.</li> <li>Only remove species in areas designated for activity and do not disturb surrounding areas.</li> </ul> | Part B 1)<br>d) (2) (b) |
| Flora            | Alien invasive encroachment                          | Neg                                | Reversible    | 1      | 2        | 2        | 2           | 2           | 2          | 4            | High              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Eradicate and control all alien invasive species on site.</li> <li>Rehabilitate and revegetated all areas where alien invasive species were removed.</li> <li>A weed control plan that would consist of removing the weeds by hand on a monthly basis as well as chemical control, where herbicides would be used to combat weeds.</li> </ul>  | Part B 1)<br>d) (2) (b) |
| Topography       | Alteration of topography                             | Neg                                | Irreversible  | 1      | 2        | 5        | 2           | 2           | 3.5        | 7            | Low               | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Excavation areas will be sloped during rehabilitation to even out depressions.</li> </ul>  | Part B 1)<br>d) (2) (c) |
| Land Use         | Degrading of grazing potential for livestock farming | Neg                                | Reversible    | 1      | 2        | 2        | 2           | 3           | 2.5        | 5            | High              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Should it be found that after mining operation have ceased, that the natural vegetation of the area is unacceptable, the area would be re-vegetated with an indigenous s grass seed mix.</li> </ul>  | Part B 1)<br>d) (2) (d) |

Environmental Impact Assessment

| Nature of Impact                | Impact  | Positive/Negative / Neutral Impact | Reversibility | Extent | Severity | Duration | Consequence | Probability | Likelihood | Significance | Mitigation Rating | Mitigation  | EMP Section          |
|---------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---------------|--------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|---|----------------------|
| Land Use                        | Veldt fire might seriously impact on surrounding land-use (livestock/irrigation of neighboring farmers) | Neg                                | Reversible    | 1      | 2        | 2        | 2           | 2           | 2          | 4            | High              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Precautionary measures such as fire breaks would be taken into account and the company will join the local FPA.</li> </ul>   | Part B 1) d) (2) (d) |
| Visual aspect                   | Deterioration in visual aesthetics of the area  | Neg                                | Reversible    | 2      | 1        | 3        | 2           | 2           | 2.5        | 5            | High              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Area would be properly rehabilitated as mining activities progress in order to reduce the visual aspect as much as possible.</li> <li>Screens will be considered if I&amp;AP complaints are received.</li> </ul>                                     |                      |
| Archaeological & cultural sites | Loss of and disturbance to surface archaeological sites   | Neg                                | Irreversible  | 1      | 5        | 5        | 5           | 1           | 3          | 1.5          | Low               | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Should artefacts or archaeological items be observed, then all activity should cease immediately, the area marked off and a specialists consulted prior to any further activity.</li> </ul>  | Part B 1) d) (2) (e) |
| Social                          | Financial gain on different levels  | Pos                                | Reversible    | 1      | 2        | 3        | 2           | 4           | 3.5        | 7            | High              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The comprehensive SLP that was submitted as part of the application manages this aspect of the project.</li> </ul>   |                      |
| Noise                           | Increased noise levels  | Neg                                | Reversible    | 1      | 1        | 3        | 1           | 2           | 2.5        | 2.5          | High              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of earthmoving equipment will be limited to office hours.</li> <li>Trucks, machinery and equipment will be regularly serviced to ensure acceptable noise levels are not exceeded.</li> <li>Silencers will be utilized where possible.</li> </ul> | Part B 1) d) (2) (f) |
| Air quality                     | Dust generation   | Neg                                | Reversible    | 2      | 2        | 1        | 4           | 2           | 1.5        | 6            | Med               | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sandy nature of the soil will result in dust.</li> <li>Working times will be strictly controlled.</li> <li>A water cart will be used to spray relevant areas when dust levels are high.</li> </ul>   | Part B 1) d) (2) (f) |

Environmental Impact Assessment

| Nature of Impact     | Impact  | Positive/Negative / Neutral Impact | Reversibility | Extent | Severity | Duration | Consequence | Probability | Likelihood | Significance | Mitigation Rating | Mitigation  | EMP Section   |                      |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------------|---------------|--------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|---|---|----------------------|
| Fauna                | Loss of food, nest sites and refuge   | Neg                                | Reversible    | 2      | 1        | 3        | 2           | 1           | 2          | 4            | High              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Relocate larger animals with the aid of specialists.</li> <li>Ensure relevant permits are in place.</li> </ul>   | Part B 1) d) (2) (g)  |                      |
| Fauna                | Alienation of animals from the area   | Neg                                | Reversible    | 2      | 1        | 3        | 2           | 1           | 2          | 4            | High              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mining will only take place on designated areas, and will be restricted to office ours.</li> <li>No traps or hunting of any animals will be allowed.</li> <li>Mining will be done with the least possible habitat destruction. mining activities are only temporary.</li> <li>Inform staff, contractors and visitors to not harm fauna in the area.</li> </ul> | Part B 1) d) (2) (g)  |                      |
| Surface water        | Potential hydrocarbon contamination which may reach downstream surface water bodies     | Neg                                | Reversible    | 1      | 1        | 3        | 1           | 2           | 2          | 2            | 5                 | High  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Truck, machinery and equipment will be regularly serviced to reduce risk of leaks.</li> <li>Any leakages should be reported and treated immediately in a reputable manner.</li> <li>For large spills Hazmat will called in.</li> </ul> | Part B 1) d) (2) (h) |
| Surface water        | Increased risk of siltation of surface water bodies                                     | Neg                                | Reversible    | 2      | 1        | 2        | 2           | 2           | 2          | 4            | High              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure clean and dirty water separation and storm water management systems are established on site prior to construction taking place.</li> </ul>  | Part B 1) d) (2) (h)  |                      |
| Surface water        | Downstream water quantity of catchment reduced  | Neg                                | Reversible    | 2      | 1        | 2        | 2           | 2           | 2          | 4            | High              |   | Part B 1) d) (2) (h)  |                      |
| Sensitive Landscapes | Potential damage to or destruction of sensitive faunal habitats: Pans & watering points | Neg                                | Reversible    | 2      | 1        | 2        | 2           | 2           | 2          | 4            | High              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pans and artificial watering points must be cordoned off with at least 100m horizontal distance buffer zones and no activity is too take place within these areas.</li> </ul>  | Part B 1) d) (2) (h)  |                      |

Environmental Impact Assessment

| Nature of Impact | Impact   | Positive/Negative / Neutral Impact | Reversibility | Extent | Severity | Duration | Consequence | Probability | Likelihood | Significance | Mitigation Rating | Mitigation   | EMP Section          |
|------------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------|--------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|--|----------------------|
|                  |  |                                    |               |        |          |          |             |             |            |              |                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consideration should be given to create alternative watering point if existing artificial water point will be disturbed.</li> </ul> |                      |
| Groundwater      | Quality and Quantity of groundwater could be adversely affected by mining activities | Neg                                | Reversible    | 2      | 1        | 2        | 2           | 2           | 2          | 4            | High              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Groundwater will only be used for domestic purposes and will not be directly affected by mining activities.</li> </ul>              | Part B 1) d) (2) (i) |

Cumulative Impacts

Table 9: Cumulative Impact Assessment of Tja Naledi-Barrage Bulk Sand Mine

| Nature of Impact   | Impact                                  | Positive/Negative / Neutral Impact | Reversibility | Extent | Severity | Duration | Consequence | Probability | Likelihood | Significance | Mitigation Rating | Mitigation   | EMP Section                |
|--|---|------------------------------------|---------------|--------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|--|----------------------------|
| <b>CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATIONAL PHASES</b>   |   |                                    |               |        |          |          |             |             |            |              |                   |  |                            |
| <b>ACTIVITY: Utilization of haul and access roads within the mining right area</b> |   |                                    |               |        |          |          |             |             |            |              |                   |  |                            |
| <b>SUB ACTIVITY: Truck and heavy machinery operations</b>                          |   |                                    |               |        |          |          |             |             |            |              |                   |  |                            |
| Traffic & Safety   | Increased potential for road incidences | Neg                                | Reversible    | 2      | 3        | 1        | 6           | 3           | 2          | 12           | Med               | All intersections with main tarred roads will be clearly signposted. Drivers will be enforced to keep to set speed limits. Trucks will be in road-worthy condition with reflective strips.         | Part B<br>1) d)<br>(2) (j) |
| Traffic & Safety   | Road degradation                        | Neg                                | Reversible    | 1      | 3        | 1        | 3           | 2           | 1.5        | 4.5          | Med               | A fund will be set aside (with the two similar mines in close vicinity of the Tja Naledi) to maintain the serviceability of the road verge where the trucks approach or depart from the main road. | Part B<br>1) d)<br>(2) (j) |

## Environmental Impact Assessment